The Senate Committee on the Budget studies the University and campus budgets, the criteria used to determine allocation of resources at our campus, and the educational policy implications of budgetary decision-making. The Committee makes recommendations on proposed budgetary increases, as well as other matters that fall within its purview.

At this point, it is well known that the State of Illinois is experiencing the effects of a national downturn in the economy and that this has had a serious and substantial impact on the fiscal well-being of the University of Illinois. We commend the Chancellor and the Provost for keeping the campus community apprised of the University’s financial outlook and for being a positive presence in light of the current situation. Because of budgetary cut-backs, the FY02 budget was restructured and internal reallocations and reductions for FY03 will occur.

As the economic trend continues into planning for FY04, our Committee has focussed its discussions on how the campus could make best use of its resources, as well as ways in which additional revenue might be generated. We met with Provost Herman in December and at that time he brought the Committee up to date on the financial state of the campus, and he identified studies underway whose findings would assist our Committee in making its recommendations on immediate and future budgetary priorities. In January, we met with Associate Vice-President Stephen Rugg, who provided us with a review of current and projected State budget conditions. At the time of this writing, the Committee is preparing its recommendations to the Provost for the FY04 operating budget.

While financial concerns and possible remedies have been on the forefront of our agenda, we also deliberated upon several other issues.

One of the recommendations of the Fifth Senate Review Commission was to incorporate the duties of the Senate Faculty Benefits Committee into the Budget Committee. Both committees discussed the issue in regular committee sessions and then we met jointly in December to develop a response to the Senate Executive Committee. Having considered at length the different and important functions of both committees, we found no compelling reason to combine the two groups. In fact, we concluded that to do so would dilute the efforts and focus of both. We view the Faculty Benefits Committee as a strong advocacy group for the faculty and believe the Senate would be ill-advised to fold the function of this group into the Budget Committee, potentially diminishing its effectiveness in this regard. This said, we do support the idea of joint study on specific issues, such as the collaboration of the two committees in recommending Senate action on a resolution to implement an increase in faculty fringe benefits by instituting a matching savings plan. (See FB.99.02/BG.99.04, approved by the Senate, 4/19/99.) And, during our joint session, we discussed the possibility of scheduling other joint meetings in the future to discuss issues that have relevance to both committees. The Budget and Benefits Committees' recommendation that the two committees not be combined was reported to the Senate Executive Committee (SEC) in January and the SEC endorsed the view that the committees not be merged at this time.

In response to a request from SEC that the Budget Committee formulate procedures for designating a representative from its membership to serve on the Campus Budget Oversight Committee (CBOC), we proposed the following: that the Budget Committee Chair, in consultation with the Committee, nominate two of its faculty members to serve ex officio on the CBOC. The SEC Chair, Vice-Chair and
Provost would meet jointly to make the final selection, as is done with Senate recommendations for service on this important committee. In making its recommendation, our Committee emphasized the importance of selecting well-qualified and knowledgeable persons to serve on the Budget Committee when the Committee on Committees makes its nominations for committee service each spring. In this regard, we proposed that the Budget Committee Chair communicate with the Chair of the Committee on Committees concerning these appointments. The SEC accepted our recommendations at its February meeting.

The Provost provided our Committee with a copy of the Campus Redevelopment Task Force Report, “Restoring the Core,” which identifies 51 buildings that comprise the “core” of the Urbana campus. The task force evaluated each structure by condition, risk, usability, and historic significance, and grouped the buildings into “tiers” for the purpose of assigning priority for renovation. The task force estimated the cost for renewal and renovation of the facilities in most urgent need of attention at over $271M, and those in need of extensive renovation at more than $307M. The task force proposes the establishment of a program, called “Restoring the Core”—a partnership between the State of Illinois and prospective donors—for large-scale remodeling and renovation projects, and they recommend the program be funded at $30M annually. The recurring funds program would provide for the renovation of one core building each year. The Budget Committee agreed that restoring these facilities is necessary and was supportive of the program, but members believe that a broader initiative needs to be undertaken, otherwise the rate of restoration will achieve little if any net gain on building restoration.

We also reviewed the five-year plan for the Library. The Committee discussed the intrinsic role of the Library, how it contributes to scholarship and its value to faculty and undergraduate students. It was the view of the Budget Committee that a long-range plan for the Library needs to be developed, a holistic approach that addresses these and other aspects of the Library’s usefulness, including future needs. The lack of a clear understanding or description of the evolution expected of libraries over the next few years makes it difficult to project budgetary needs for the Library.

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET
Barclay Jones, Chair
Steve Anderson
Peter Goldsmith
Janice Juraska
Alison Schmulbach
David Ziebart
Bill Adams, ex officio
The Committee on Committees is charged to nominate candidates for (1) Chair and Vice-Chair of Senate Council, (2) standing and ad hoc committees of the Senate, and (3) service on other University bodies designated by the Senate. The Committee also responds to various other requests for nominations or comments on nominations to committees. The following summarizes Committee activities during its 2001-2002 term.

Standing Committees of the Senate. Vacancies on Standing Committees of the Senate and MEC, CBOC and SURSMAC were filled following Senate action on the following reports: CC.02.02, CC.02.03, CC.02.05, and CC.02.07. Nominations for Chair/Vice-Chair of Senate Council were submitted via e-mail for Senate approval. Nominations for Membership on University Senates Conference (CC.03.01), and for 2002-03 standing committees and on the Military Education Council (CC.03.02) were submitted for approval at the organizational meeting of the 2002-03 Senate.

Governing and Advisory Bodies. In accord with the Bylaws, the Committee recommended students for appointment by the Provost to the General Education Board and submitted CC.02.06 for Senate approval of nominees to the Athletic Board. As a consequence of continuing administrative review of appropriate strategies for program evaluation, nominations to membership on COPE were not required.

Representatives to Other Bodies. In addition to nominations for service on the Military Education Council (see above), nominations were made for memberships on the State University Retirement System Members Advisory Committee, and on the Research Policy Committee (CC.02.04).

Other Committees. Faculty were recommended to the Chancellor for appointment to the Campus Committee on Commencement; to the Provost for the Criminal History Appeals Committee; as well as to the Campus Grievance Procedures Task Force and NCAA Review Committee; to University Senates Conference for the Central Administration Budget and University Benefits Study Committee; and for the Campus Promotion and Tenure Committee, nominations were submitted to Senate Council for review prior to consultation between the Senate Council Chair and the Provost.

The Committee on Committees gratefully acknowledges the work of its Secretary, Diana Morris, and Clerk of the Senate Robert Damrau for their considerable effort in producing the numerous reports, mailings, and lists that have so effectively facilitated the work of the Committee.

---

1 Relevant details are found in the Bylaws of the Senate, Part D -- Standing Committees, Part E -- Governing and Advisory Bodies, and Part F -- Senate Representatives to Other Bodies.
Here is an outline of our committee’s work for the year. I think we had a very productive session during 2001-2002.

**CG 00.05**
Revisions to Rule 34 (Class Attendance). After consultations with Educational Policy, this proposal was dropped and replaced by CG 02.02 (below). **Status: Retired.**

**CG 01.01**
Digest version of the *Code*. An edited, reduced version of the *Code* was prepared that includes only those items of greatest concern to students (Academic Misconduct, Student Discipline, etc.). The intention is to produce a smaller, less expensive version for distribution to students, which they will be more likely to read (see CG 02.04, below). **Status: Ready to forward to Chancellor.**

**CG 01.04**
Revisions to Rule 8.5 (Mandatory Assessment). Changes to make it compatible with Rule 62. **Status: Reviewed by Senate, ready to forward to Chancellor for adoption into Code.**

**CG 01.05**
Revisions to Statement of Individual Rights (2D). Changes to clarify when and under what circumstances an instructor can exclude a student from class for disruptive conduct. **Status: Reviewed by Senate, ready to forward to Chancellor for adoption into Code.**

**CG 01.06**
Revisions to Rule 103 (Handout Materials). Changes to clarify where and when persons can distribute handout materials within classroom buildings. **Status: Pending Senate review.**

**CG 01.09**
Revisions to Rule 33 (Academic Misconduct). Restrictions on taking class notes with the intention of reselling them to commercial note-taking services, without the instructor’s permission. **Status: Reviewed by Senate, ready to forward to Chancellor for adoption into Code.**
CG 01.10
Revisions to Rule 44 (Repeated Courses). Changes to clarify policies on repeated courses and grades earned. Status: Pending discussions with Educational Policy.

CG 01.12
Revisions to Rule 61 (Student records). Changes to published student directory information. Status: Minor change, ready to forward to Chancellor for adoption into Code.

CG 01.13

CG 01.14
Revisions to Rule 46 (Adding and Dropping Courses). Changes to clarify policies on late adds. Status: Pending.

CG 02.01
Revisions to Rule 102 (Registered Student Organizations). Changes to Organization Fund Advisory Board. Status: Minor change, ready to forward to Chancellor for adoption into Code.

CG 02.02
Revisions to Rule 34 (Class Attendance). Changes to clarify policies on dropping students for nonattendance in classes. Status: Pending Senate review.

CG 02.03

CG 02.04
Promoting the Code. Proposals to the Chancellor about how to raise student awareness and understanding of the Code (see CG 01.01). Status: Ready to forward to Chancellor.

CG 02.05
Revisions to Rule 15 (I.D. Cards). Changes to policies on “I-Cards.” Status: Minor change, ready to forward to Chancellor for adoption into Code.

Also occasional corrections of typos or minor word changes, passim.

Pending work: Finishing 01.10, 01.14, and 02.03; revisions to campus FERPA rules (Rule 62); revisions to campus alcohol abuse rules (Rule 17); revisions to Rule 11.
As of the drafting of this report, the Senate Committee on Campus Operations (SCCO) had met eight times during the 2001-2002 academic year. One additional meeting is scheduled for May. Some of the issues before the SCCO were carried over from previous years. These issues include janitorial services, campustown improvements, campus safety and crime prevention, the South Farms Project, oversight of campus classroom and laboratory remodeling and renovations, classroom reassignment, campus parking, and the Research Park. Recent issues include traffic flow on Wright Street, the Campus Emergency Plan, and campus quality of life, and its use for attracting the best possible students, faculty and staff. The duration of construction projects on Campus has also been discussed.

Invited guests included Peter Siegel (Chief Information Officer, Office of the Provost) and Stan Yagi (Assistant CIO, CCSO); George Hess, Director of Planning & Marketing; Jeff Oberg, Director of Budget & Resource Planning, and Kelly Pfeifer, Assistant Director of Planning & Marketing, Operations & Maintenance; John B. Parks, Director, Research Park, Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research; Jeff Oberg, Director of Budget & Resource Planning, O & M; Eugene Barton, Associate Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs (Housing); Susan Maul, Illini Union; Dr. Robert Palinkas, McKinley Health Center; Bart Clark, Associate University Librarian for Planning & Budgeting; and Terry Ruprecht, Associate Provost and Academic Facilities Officer. Jesse “Tony” Clements, Recreation Facilities, has been scheduled to speak at the May 3 meeting, after the drafting of this report.

**Liaison Members to Several Campus Committees**

- **Facility Planning Committee** — Jan Novakofski has been attending Facility Planning committee meetings representing the SCCO for 2001-2002.
- **Public Safety Advisory Committee** — Julia Walker has attended Public Safety Advisory Committee meetings on behalf of the SCCO for 2001-2002.
- **O & M Advisory Committee** — Sally Truckenbrodt has been serving the past year as the SCCO representative.
- **Pedestrian Safety Committee** — Rahim Benekohal was appointed as representative to this committee in February 2001.

**“Campus Emergency Plan,” Charles Colbert, Vice Chancellor for Administration and Human Resources, (October)**

Vice Chancellor Colbert gave an overview of the *Campus Emergency Plan* that gives faculty and staff the assurance that someone is paying attention and distributed handouts of the *Critical Incident Manual*. He walked members through the handouts explaining that the actual membership of the Critical Incidents Team varies depending on the particular emergency incident (fire, explosion, hazardous substance spill, etc.). Colbert explained how this Team conducts tabletop exercises to prepare for campus emergencies.

There was also a discussion of the power outage that occurred on campus in January of 2001 and the critical effect that it had on research facilities. Dempsey reported that within the next 18 months every building on campus would be connected to both the Abbot Power Plant and
Illinois Power Company. Since the two plants should never be down at the same time, it should serve as a safety net, and should prohibit that situation from ever happening again. He also referred to the recent building survey that was done to determine what improvements are needed in all campus buildings. Such repairs will cost the campus about $3 million.

**Peter Siegel, Chief Information Officer, Office of the Provost and Stan Yagi, Assistant CIO, Director of CCSO (November)**

Peter Siegel and Stan Yagi came to speak to the committee and reported that they are presently working on a robust computer infrastructure for the campus. In 1999 the UIUC Net "Enterprise" Network Proposal was presented to the Deans and in summer of 2000, a Funding Strategy was created to “develop a strategy for creating stable, long-term funding for the academic communications infrastructure at the Urbana Campus.” CCSO must prepare for continuous growth; 33,000 ports in FY01 and growing at 6 percent per year; must provide the ability to manage services reliability; the basic quality network must have the ability to manage security problems effectively; and the FY03 Plan of record will require a per jack fee.

"Policy of Procurement of Construction Services" — Jack Dempsey, Director of O & M; George Hess, Director of Planning & Marketing; Jeff Oberg, Director of Budget & Resource Planning, O & M; Kelly Pfeifer, Assistant Director of Planning & Marketing (November)

Dempsey introduced his colleagues and together they gave an overview of policies and procedures for procurement of construction services. Any Campus department considering construction or repairs must first decide whether to hire a contractor from outside the University or have O & M facilitate the work. First and foremost O & M tries to find the best value for the customer; if the University does not have the resources, it may be necessary to seek an outside contractor. Dempsey stressed that O & M does not solicit quotes from outside contractors unless they actually plan to use them, as the University does not want to be in competition with a private entity. Hess pointed out that last year $600,000 worth of business was done with outside contractors.

**John B. Parks, Director, Research Park and Incubator (December)**

Parks gave an update on the progress that has been made on the Research Park since he spoke to the committee last spring. The Park has grown rapidly, most likely due to the strong support of the University and of the State of Illinois. Present tenants include Motorola, Caterpillar, SAIC, Aventis and Phonak. Parks spoke of the many advantages and compelling reasons for establishing new companies through the Research Park. Such companies have the opportunity to work with and recruit top talent from the University (through internships and work-study programs) before they enter the competitive work force. This program is also designed to encourage collaboration between industry and the University by providing companies with access to leading faculty researchers as well as university laboratories and equipment. The new incubator will provide not only a building for establishing new companies, but also the technology and talent. Parks remarked that the Incubator has the potential to start 8 to 10 companies per year.

**Housing, Eugene Barton, Associate Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs (January)**

Barton reported that while he deals with the following areas: Housing, Dining Services, Campus Recreation, the Illini Union, McKinley Health Center, Illini Union Book Store, and the Assembly Hall, but that his presentation would be on Housing. Barton explained that there have been major changes in college housing over the years and the University continues to work to accommodate those changes. He proceeded to give an overview of the housing facilities and dining services. Barton held a short discussion with the members and answered their many questions. He explained that University Housing does not receive any state appropriations; fees, and room and board rates provide the funding.
Susan Maul, Director of the Illini Union (March)

Maul mentioned that she had been Director of the Illini Union for 18 years and then proceeded to give an overview of its operations. The original building (north end) opened for business on February 8, 1941 and the south end expansion was completed in 1963. The new Illini Union Bookstore located on Wright Street was built in 1994.

The Illini Union Board was officially created and charged in 1942 by the Board of Trustees with the dual responsibilities for programming of an all campus nature and for advising the Union Director on building policy matters. She gave an overview of the composition of the Board and also a breakdown of all the areas included in the Illini Union's operations.

Dr. Robert Palinkas, Director McKinley Health Center and Mr. Eugene Barton, Associate Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs (March)

Dr. Palinkas reported that the oldest part of McKinley Health Center, the section facing Lincoln Avenue, was built as a hospital in 1925. The health facility is made up of 78,000 square feet, holds 55 general treatment and exam rooms, and employs 23 physicians (2 OB/Gyne, 3 Psychiatrist, multiple family practitioners and internists, 4 mental health therapists, 10 nurse practitioners, 167 regular employees, 17 graduate assistants, and 42 hourly student employees. McKinley Health Center will soon receive a complete interior facelift to include additional medical clinic area with 8 additional exam rooms, expanded women’s health, modernized library, and greater efficiencies. The Center is accredited every 3-years. Palinkas and members of SCCO held a general discussion of the Center. When asked what the Center could use most, Dr. Palinkas thought it would be advantageous to have another 10 parking spaces near the building.

Steven Hesselschwerdt, Assistant Director, Office for Project Planning & Facility Management — Removal of classroom in Education Building in order to provide handicapped access to lecture hall

Hesselschwerdt came to the March meeting because it has always been customary for his office to notify the SCCO when considering the removal of a classroom for another use. He distributed copies of a blueprint showing a classroom in the Education Building that will soon be renovated to provide AVA accessibility to the adjoining lecture hall. No AVA accessibility was needed when the building was originally built. Now that there are several paraplegics in the building, the College has given the approval for these changes. The $650,000 project ($200,000 of that to install the ramp) includes upgrading twelve classrooms. The SCCO agreed with the decision to remove a classroom for these purposes.

Vice Chancellor Colbert’s Remarks

Vice Chancellor Colbert continues to update the committee on campus goings-on. At the September meeting, Colbert remarked that in the next three years construction on campus would intensify. That infrastructure is sure to cause an inconvenience, but is very important to the campus. Plans for the enforcement of motorists observing pedestrians in crosswalks; proper signage is in the process of being made. Beginning Spring Break, all buses would be removed from Sixth Street, and Spring Break, construction would begin on reducing Green Street (from the railroad at Neil Street to Wright Street) to three lanes of traffic. The project is to be completed by Fall 2002. Also, the MTD (Mass Transit District) has been given a $500,000 grant to do a study on transportation needs in the community.

John Dempsey’s Report

Dempsey reported that on March 19th, they would open bids on the Abbott expansion. Also, the chilled water expansion on Oak Street will begin in May. He also mentioned that it has
been an on-going battle to maintain standards for construction and repairs of University buildings and they are presently trying to get a process in place.

At the March meeting, Dempsey reported that he had been asked to form a workgroup to address the issue of individual privacy in relation to the installation of security devices that can tie access systems to other campus databases. The group has been broken into two committees; one addressing the physical and procedural aspects of security and the other addressing the individual rights aspects of security. He asked for two faculty representatives from SCCO to serve in this capacity.

**SENATE COMMITTEE ON CAMPUS OPERATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henrique Reis, Chair</th>
<th>John G. Dempsey, <em>ex officio</em></th>
<th>Jan Novakofski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rahim Benekohal</td>
<td>George Francis</td>
<td>Beth Sandore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William C. Bullock</td>
<td>Ted Hymowitz</td>
<td>Sally Truckenbrodt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Colbert, <em>ex officio</em></td>
<td>Katharine J. Kral, <em>ex officio</em></td>
<td>Julia Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricia Colmone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Denotes non-voting status*
The 2001-2002 academic year has been very busy for the Educational Policy Committee (EPC). The Committee dealt with many items relating to changes in academic units and curricula. As the table below shows, most have been put into a form acceptable to and approved by the Senate. Others have been considered by this committee and its recommendations reported to administration or other committees. Many other items are "items still before the committee." Three standing subcommittees were effectively chaired by Bruce Branham, Paul Gerding, and David Pecknold. Ms. Diana Morris is commended for her effective staff support, without which the tasks of EPC would be much more difficult.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Title of Proposal</th>
<th>Approved by Senate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.01.19</td>
<td>Revision of Grade Rotation and Credit Designation</td>
<td>9/17/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.01.20</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ACES for a new minor in Technical Systems Management</td>
<td>9/17/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.01.21</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS and the Council on Teacher Education for a revision of the Teaching of English Major</td>
<td>9/17/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.01.22</td>
<td>Proposal to UIUC EPC, Revision of the Course Numbering System</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.01</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ALS to revise the Bachelor of Science curriculum in Speech and Hearing Science</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.02</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ALS of an Undergraduate Concentration in Rehabilitation studies</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.04</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of Fine and Applied Arts to revise the Minor in Music</td>
<td>12/3/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.05</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ALS to revise the M.S.P.H. in Community Health degree program</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.07</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS to establish a concentration in Medieval Studies</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.08</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS &amp; Council on Teacher Education to revise the General Education sequences for several Teacher Education Curricula in the College of LAS</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.09</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of Engineering for a Minor in Physics</td>
<td>10/29/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.11</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ACES for a Minor in Quantitative Methods in Natural Resources &amp; Environmental Sciences</td>
<td>12/3/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.12</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS to Discontinue the Teacher Education Minor in Speech and the Speech Teaching Option</td>
<td>10/29,2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.13</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ACES for a Minor in Fiber Science</td>
<td>12/3/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.14</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ACES for a Minor in Animal Sciences</td>
<td>12/3/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.15</td>
<td>Proposal from the Department of Chemical Engineering for a Singapore Study Abroad Option in the Master of Science in Chemical Engineering</td>
<td>12/3/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.16</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ENGR for a Biomaterials Concentration for the BS in Materials Science &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>2/4/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.19</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences to rename the Department of Chemical Engineering to Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering</td>
<td>3/11/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal ID</td>
<td>Proposal Title</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.21</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS for an Interdisciplinary Minor in Asian American Studies</td>
<td>3/11/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.22</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of FAA to revise the curriculum in Performance Studies in the Department of Theater</td>
<td>3/11/2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITEMS forwarded for action to April 29 Senate Meeting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.20</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ACES to revise the Agroecology Option of the Crop Sciences Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.23</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS to revise the English Minor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.24</td>
<td>Proposal from the Graduate College for the creation of a M.S. and Ph.D. program in Systems and Entrepreneurial Engineering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.25</td>
<td>2004-2005 Academic Calendar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.26</td>
<td>2005-2006 Academic Calendar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.27</td>
<td>2006-2007 Academic Calendar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.28</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of Engineering for the revised Computer Science/Engineering Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.29</td>
<td>Proposal from the Graduate College for a Five-year combined B.S./M.S. program in Computer Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.30</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS for the Creation of the Major in Specialized Curriculum in Biomedical &amp; Chemical Engineering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.31</td>
<td>Proposal from the Council on Teacher Education for a revision of the General Education Requirements for Music Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.33</td>
<td>Proposal from the Department of Special Education for Proposed Revision to the Curriculum Preparatory to Teaching Persons with Moderate and Severe Disabilities; and Proposed Revision to the Master's level certification Options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.34</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of LAS for revisions to the Multidisciplinary Major and Minor in International Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITEMS forwarded for information to December 3, 2001 Senate Meeting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.17</td>
<td>Recommendation from the GEB for Implementation of the Quantitative Reasoning II Component of the General Education Requirements (freshman) entering Fall 2002 &amp; thereafter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ITEMS forwarded for information to April 29, 2002 Senate Meeting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.10</td>
<td>Report on the Grade Point Average Calculation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EPC voted down—proposals dead**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.00.21</td>
<td>Proposal from the Military Education Council to offer an undergraduate Minor in Military studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.18</td>
<td>Proposed Revision to the Synopsis of Policies Governing the Academic Calendar at UIUC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Due to budget implications, proposal returned to provost's office**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.03</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of CBA to revise the curriculum in Business Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Items still before the committee, 4/15/02**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP.93.10</td>
<td>Community College Articulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.99.23</td>
<td>Proposed Changes in Academic Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.01.06</td>
<td>Institution of a “Forgiveness” Allowance for the first two semesters of Post-Secondary Coursework Counting Towards an Undergraduate Degree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.35</td>
<td>Proposal from the Council on Teacher Education for a revision to the Social Studies Teaching Option of the History Major in the Sciences and Letters Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP.02.36</td>
<td>Proposal from the College of ACES for the ACES International Minor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Senate Committee on Equal Opportunity (EQ) is responsible for developing, encouraging, and evaluating the development of equal opportunity and affirmative action programs and guidelines directed primarily toward increasing the numbers and improving the status of minority groups in the University community. The following is a summary of issues concluded or considered at length by EQ during the 2001-02 academic year.

**EQ.97.03 Climate for Ethnic Minorities**
To better evaluate racial concerns on campus, EQ discussed the Faculty Exit Survey, administered by John Ory, Director of the Office of Instructional Resources. For the first time, ethnic minority groups were identified in the survey.

**Exit Survey Report**
In January 2002, Kathleen Pecknold, Associate Provost and Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs, attended the EQ meeting to explain the exit interview process. Pecknold distributed copies of the “Exit Interview Protocol,” “Suggested Questions for Exit Interviews,” “Administrator Survey,” and “Faculty Exit Survey.” John Ory will summarize the data from all the Exit Interview forms and issue a Summary Report. The Exit Interview Committee will make recommendations based on the data. The recommendations will be given to the Provost and Chancellor at the end of the process. The overall objective of the exit interviews and survey is to improve the campus climate for ethnic minorities.

There are three sets of suggested questions for the exit interviews, depending on the reason the individual is leaving the University.

1. Faculty Denied Tenure or Advised Out
2. Faculty Leaving for Other Positions
3. Faculty Leaving Who Are Retiring

**TOP and Faculty Excellence Programs**
David Swanson, Associate Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, spoke on the Target of Opportunity (TOP) and the Faculty Excellence Programs. The TOP Program is designed to support the recruitment of outstanding faculty members among groups that are underrepresented in specific units on campus. Race and gender are not the only determining factor to recruit a particular person. The candidate must have an outstanding record of academic accomplishments. This, however, is subject to the availability of funds. TOP is not intended to be the only route for hiring faculty from underrepresented groups.

The Faculty Excellence Program is to hire individuals who have an outstanding record of accomplishments and who can provide scholarly leadership from the outset of their appointments. Faculty should be those who are in mid-career; however, exceptional senior leaders or junior scholars are also considered. Swanson distributed copies of “Location of Faculty Excellence Hires,” showing there have been 43 hires in this program. Committee members questioned if the Faculty Excellence Program is used to retain excellent faculty. Swanson replied that the program is used for new hires. There are other programs in place for retention purposes. Swanson also distributed copies of “Increase in Women and Minority Faculty, FY92-FY00” (women 23% increase, African/American 33% increase, and Hispanic/Latino 47% increase) and “New Faculty Hires for FY02” (total new hires 195.7, women 89.7, minorities 45.1) to committee members.
Diversity in the Dorms
A student senator on EQ, Nathaniel Allen, presented a proposal recommending a change in the housing application process. Allen’s proposal recommends incoming freshmen not to have the option in choosing a selected residence hall area, but instead incoming assignments should be randomly selected. EQ discussed the proposal and voted to support it. It was suggested that the proposal be sent to Joan Klein, Chair of the Senate Committee on University Student Life (USL) for review. EQ sent a letter of support together with Nathaniel’s proposal to USL. Meanwhile, Allen felt he needed to discuss further this issue with various student ethnic groups before going forward on the proposal.

In March 2002, EQ invited Jack Collins, Director of Housing and Mary Cloos, Assistant Director of Housing, to discuss the housing application process. Collins and Cloos expressed their willingness to study the situation, but reminded EQ to institute changes is a long-term process. They distributed the report “Overview of Assignment Process & Racial/Ethnic Composition.”

EQ.00.01 Report on the Status of Women Faculty at the University of Urbana-Champaign
Handout on Tenure and Tenure-Track Faculty
EQ noted that the percentage of women/minorities is high in the Assistant Professor rank, indicating that progress is being made. Chair found that compared to five years ago (Faculty Status Report, 1996, Office of Affirmative Action, UIUC), the numbers of women/ethnic minorities at the Assistant Professor rank had increased.

Recruitment on Campus
In April 2002, Elaine Shoben, Chair of the Task Force on Gender Equity, will speak to EQ on the topic “Effective and Fair Recruiting on Campus.”

SENATE COMMITTEE ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITY
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Committee Charge

The Senate Committee on Faculty Benefits (FB) shall investigate and regularly report to the Senate on the adequacy and other attributes of the University's provisions for salaries, retirement benefits, sabbatical leaves, hospitalization and medical insurance, life insurance, other insurance, investment and savings plans, travel reimbursement, housing benefits, educational benefits, recreational benefits, and other perquisites, benefits and conditions of faculty employment.

Summary of 2001-02 Committee Business

FB.96.02  Child Care  
FB continues to monitor this issue.

FB.98.02  Privacy of Social Security Numbers  
FB continues to monitor this issue.

FB.99.01  Voluntary Tax Sheltered Matching Contribution Plan  
FB continues to monitor this issue.

FB.01.04  Dental Plan  
FB continued to discuss faculty concern with discontent over the current dental coverage. The dental plans cover mostly standard and routine procedures but not the specialized or more expensive procedures. Work on this issue should continue in 2002-03.

FB.01.05  Health Coverage for Contraception  
FB discussed the lack of health coverage for contraception for women faculty and staff. In February, Chancellor Cantor announced that faculty/staff will now be able to receive free, but limited types of, oral contraception at McKinley Health Center. FB acknowledged this as a positive first step; however, the intent is to have all (not just limited oral) contraception covered by the health insurance packages. The change in February benefits only a limited number of faculty/staff. FB continues to work towards the goal of a universal contraception benefit for all, including spouses and family members. Discussions with Chancellor Cantor continue.

FB.02.01  Incorporation of Faculty Benefits Committee into the Budget Committee  
FB had a joint meeting with the Budget Committee to discuss this issue. Upon a recommendation by the Senate Review Implementation Committee, the Senate Executive Committee (SEC) asked both committees to respond to the proposal to incorporate the duties of FB into the Budget Committee. Both committees disagreed with merging as the functions and focus of each committee vary greatly. The committees did support the idea of joint study on specific issues and joint meetings occurring on a regular basis to discuss issues that have relevance to both committees. Chair Wasserman, FB, and Chair Jones, Budget, sent a response letter to SEC expressing these views.
Campus Parking

FB has been studying parking on campus since so many faculty are legitimately unhappy with the current situation. Discontent has two sources:

1. The increased and sharply increasing cost of parking, and
2. The shortage of conveniently located parking, taking into account most especially, that the Kirby Avenue remote lot is not an acceptable parking facility.

Next year, FB needs to ascertain the basis upon which the Campus Parking Office (CPO) is raising parking fees and why CPO is allowed to operate as an autonomous “auxiliary” unit. A thorough study of CPO costs and policies is necessary. Is there any University community input into CPO plans? Another area of concern remains the problem of students illegally parking in faculty and staff spots during work hours. Ticketing, when it occurs, is not a sufficient deterrent. FB will aggressively pursue the parking problem next year.

Faculty/Staff Assistance Program

FB considered the decision last year by Central Management Services to contract with Magellan Behavioral Health to provide employee assistance services to all State of Illinois employees. FB feels the Faculty/Staff Assistance Program (FSAP) provides the full range of employee assistance services to all University employees, retirees and to members of their immediate family or household. These services continue to be free, personal, confidential, professional and of the highest quality. A resolution in support of the FSAP was sent to the Senate Executive Committee. FB is in support of the comprehensive services offered to the faculty and staff by FSAP and recommended that the Senate encourage the University to continue to sponsor and promote the FSAP.
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The Senate General University Policy Committee (GUP) is responsible for studying, reporting and making recommendations to the Senate concerning general University policy in broad terms. The following report summarizes the activities of GUP during the 2001-2002 academic year. GUP met ten times (August 30, September 13, October 4, October 25, November 8, November 29, January 15, January 29, April 2, and April 16). One additional meeting is scheduled for this semester (May 7).

A. Items that are being monitored by the committee:

**GP.98.08 Faculty Representative on Board of Trustees**

In 1999, the Senate approved a resolution (co-sponsored by GUP and Senate Council) requesting that there be faculty representation with voice privilege from each campus at Board meetings. Similar resolutions were passed by the UIC and UIS Senates.

**GP.01.04 Provost’s Faculty Development Program**

This campus-level 2-day seminar held in March 2001 provided new, non-tenured faculty with guidance related to the career-oriented aspect of the academic environment. Topics covered at the seminar included: Academic Department, Faculty Evaluation, Tenure, and Graduate Students Issues.

**GP.01.05 Academic Integrity Procedures**

In 2001, the Faculty Advisory Committee noted an apparent gap in the “Procedures on Academic Integrity in Research and Publication.” This deals with cross-college complaints and the question of which Dean should be involved. GUP responded last spring with a letter to Senate Council recommending that Deans from both colleges should be involved and also that the complainant should have the right of appeal to the Provost. GUP continues to monitor this issue while revisions to the “Procedures on Academic Integrity in Research and Publication” are finalized.

**GP.02.01 UIUCnet Funding Plan**

This issue arose in the spring of 2001 from a draft plan to propose an annual charge for networking access on a per port basis with additional charges for excess bandwidth utilization as a way to raise money for campus networking. GUP responded last spring with a letter to Senate Council expressing concerns with the plan and the issue was referred to the Information Technology Committee.

B. Items that are continuing to 2002-2003:

**GP.01.01 UI-Integrate (UI²)**

A member of GUP is on the UI² Steering Committee and on the UI² Faculty Advisory Committee, so GUP has been tracking the progress of this project closely.

**GP.01.06 Evaluation of Fitness to Work Policy**

This policy has been in place for sufficient time that an evaluation of how well it is working would seem to be appropriate. One possible problem has informally come to GUP’s notice.
C. Items that were completed by the committee:

**GP.02.02 PAC Interaction with the Senate**

The Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) requested membership on the Senate Executive Committee (SEC) and several Senate standing committees. After considering the request at length and meeting with PAC representatives, GUP sent a letter to the SEC recommending an academic professional member on the following committees: Admissions, Budget, Campus Operations, Continuing Education/Public Service, Equal Opportunity, Faculty Benefits, General University Policy, Information Technology, University Statutes and Senate Procedures, and University Student Life. GUP further recommended that the PAC Chair (or designee) be a liaison to SEC. SEC referred GUP’s recommendations to the University Statutes and Senate Procedures (USSP) Committee to prepare the necessary Bylaws revisions. The Senate approved USSP’s proposal (SP.02.08) on February 4.

**GP.02.03 Proposed Statewide Performance Measures**

The Illinois Board of Higher Education (IBHE) developed a statewide framework for all higher education known as *The Illinois Commitment: Partnerships, Opportunities, and Excellence*. This framework represents a commitment on the part of Illinois higher education institutions to address six important state objectives:

1. Higher education will help Illinois business and industry sustain strong economic growth.
2. Higher education will join elementary and secondary education to improve teaching and learning at all levels.
3. No Illinois citizen will be denied an opportunity for a college education because of financial need.
4. Illinois will increase the number and diversity of citizens completing training and education programs.
5. Illinois colleges and universities will hold students to even higher expectations for learning and will be accountable for the quality of academic programs and the assessment of learning.
6. Illinois colleges and universities will continually improve productivity, cost-effectiveness, and accountability.

The document prepared by the University of Illinois Task Force on Performance Measures reflected a list of proposed statewide performance measures organized according to the goals of *The Illinois Commitment* that could be used by the University as well as other institutions in the state. Overall, GUP commended the approach taken by the Task Force and concluded the document charts a course that would be difficult to improve upon. GUP’s conclusion was reported in a letter to Senate Council.

**GP.02.04 Policy for Considering Requests for Senate Sponsorship of Events/Workshops**

Senate Council asked GUP to formulate a policy for considering requests for Senate sponsorship (funding) of events/workshops. GUP drafted the following policy in response to this request:

“It is Senate policy not to entertain requests from non-Senate organizations, units or groups for funding support or sponsorship of lectures, campus visits, workshops or other events.”
HD.02.03 2001-2002 Annual Report

The Senate Committee on Honorary Degrees met three times this academic year to consider nominees for the 2002 commencement ceremonies.

2002 Honorary Degree Recipients

The Committee sent the nominations of Maya Angelou, Peter Doherty, F. Sheldon Hackney, and Stanley O. Ikenberry to the Senate in October. In December the Committee sent the nomination of Agnes Gund. These nominations were approved by the Senate and approved by the Board of Trustees.

Future Honorary Degree Nominations

The committee is considering future nominations at this time. The files are incomplete and additional information has been requested.
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The Senate Committee on Information Technology (IT) was created by SP.96.04 on October 23, 2000 and appointed members first met on April 20, 2001. The IT committee met ten times during the 2001-2002 academic years. Major issues considered by the IT committee are described below.

**Advisory Process for Information Technology**

The IT committee has a charge of policy oversight and policy setting. Its goal is to shape campus information technology policy broadly for the benefit of faculty and students by interacting with administrative units responsible for information technologies. Clearly, IT policy covers a large array of activities. Because of this, an extensive number of committees and/or groups provide input to the campus Chief Information Officer (CIO) and University Administrative Information Technology Systems (AITS) Associate Vice President.

The IT committee believes it can most effectively shape and oversee IT policy by having a voice on existing policy making and advisory groups rather than by attempting to create new or additional structures. Therefore, the committee developed a report that 1) reviewed charges and composition of these advisory committees and groups and 2) recommend what relationships the Senate IT committee should have with these existing advisory committees and groups. The UIUC Faculty Senate currently has at least one member on four of the major committees dealing with information technology. These are:

1. Committees led by AITS that should have official or *ex officio* representation of an IT member.
   a. UI-Integrate Faculty Advisory Group
   b. AITS campus priority committee

2. Committees led by the CIO's Office that should have official or *ex officio* representation of an IT member.
   a. Educational Technologies Board (ETB)
   b. Information Technology Advisory Board

The IT Committee recommends that these fortunate coincidences be formalized to ensure future representation. Existing Faculty Senate members on committees would fill the official or *ex officio* position until their current term or appointment expired. This report was discussed with the Chancellor and the Provost at a Senate Executive Committee meeting and the recommendations accepted.

**Policies for Allocation of Basic IT Resources Across Campus**

Initial discussion by the IT Committee raised concern about the disparity in resources and access across campus. The committee supports the premise that information is fundamental for all education, research and outreach activities at the University of Illinois. Because of this, faculty, staff and students should be provided with adequate access to information retrieval and distribution systems that are well maintained. At the same time, campus units should have the right to determine allocation of resources at their disposal to use on information technologies and should not be hindered by University policy. To promote efficient use of resources, the University should provide training and instruction about information technologies to faculty, staff and students.

**UIUCnet Funding Plan**

The UIUCnet funding plan is intended to provide more consistent funding of the campus network in order to provide better and more uniform service and upgrades. The level of sustained funding necessary is not available from existing campus sources. A draft plan was proposed to charge for networking access on a per port basis with additional charges for excess bandwidth utilization. IT discussed the concern that charging for access and usage could create negative behavior changes in departments such as restricting research ability for students as a way to cut costs. IT also discussed charging a set fee or charging based on usage. Some departments favor a set
fee to make it easier to budget for the expense; however, other departments favor a fee based on the amount of usage so that departments with little usage aren’t charged as much as departments with heavy usage. Input was reviewed by the CIO’s office and the Provost but a final plan is on hold until State budgets are set.

Network Security
CIO Siegel presented information about the severity of viruses and computer attacks on campus and explained procedures being implemented to deal with the problem. The problem is very large and compounded by the need for “openness” in an academic environment. IT members stressed the need for protection of the campus network as well as education to increase awareness for all campus users. Campus Units will identify a contact in each area to be responsible for computer security and CCSO will provide those individuals with training.

Priority Setting Process for University Information Systems
AITS Associate Vice President Mendola explained the yearly priority-setting process by which AITS allocates funding for University-Wide Information Systems projects. Work on mandatory projects starts in July with remaining funding used for discretionary work which begins in August to September. UI-Integrate will account for a significant amount of funding in the next four years.

UI-Integrate
History and issues involving UI-integrate were discussed by IT with committee member Wengert who is a member of the faculty advisory group, and with AITS Associate Vice President Mendola. Another faculty representative on the UI-integrate advisory committee is a member of the General University Policy Committee.

Policy on Appropriate Use of Computers and Network Systems at UIUC
The IT committee discussed this policy at length. The major issues of discussion revolve around obtaining a balance between the interests of faculty, students, staff and administration within an acceptable University legal framework. Areas of particular concern to the IT committee were authority assumed by CCSO, appeal processes following administrative action, privacy of individuals and notification processes. Areas of particular concern for the CIO’s office were legal obligations and maintaining flexibility needed for efficient and secure operation of CCSO. This issue is currently pending in the Senate.

NetIDs/Name Space Issues
UI-Integrate will require individuals across the three campuses to share a single namespace for identifiers. Consequently, if two individuals have the same userid, one will have to change in order to access UI-Integrate. Similarly, UI-Integrate will not support hyphens in userids and these will have to be changed to access UI-Integrate. The IT committee endorsed the CIO’s plans on resolving conflicts. IT was particularly concerned about “legacy” of old Netids and CIO Siegel put forth a plan to archive Netids in a repository or directory for at least two years.
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The Committee on the Library has met eight times during the 2001-2002 academic year. As of the drafting of this report; one additional meeting is scheduled for May.

Guests are regularly invited from the Library to give presentations on specific issues. During the period of this report these have included: Pat Allen, Director, ACES Library; Robert Burger, Associate University Librarian for Services; Marianna Tax Choldin, Director, Mortensen Center; Janis Johnston, Director, Law Library; William Mischo, Engineering Librarian; Nancy O'Brien, Director, Education and Social Science Library; Karen Schmidt, Director, Collections and Assessment; Peggy Steele, Library Systems Coordinator; Paula Watson, Director, Electronic Information Services; and Karen Wei, Director, Asian Library. Other guest speakers were Robert Dodds, Professor in Civil and Environmental Engineering; and Joseph Greene, Professor in Materials Science and Engineering.

Commendation to Provost Richard Herman

At the March 27th meeting, the SCL voted to commend Provost Herman for protecting the Library from budget cuts in FY2003 in recognition of the pivotal role the Library holds in the University.

Education and Social Science Library — Nancy O'Brien (September)

O'Brien remarked that the Education and Social Science Library can be described in two words — service and diversity. This Library serves the whole community due to its Special Collections including: Arms Control Collection/weaponry materials; Curriculum Collection — of special interest to home schoolers; Merten J. Mandeville Collection in the Occult Sciences/Library purchases white magic materials only as opposed to black magic materials; School Collection of Children's Literature Resources; and Test Collection. This is the 3rd busiest unit in the Library for circulation; answered 20,000 reference questions last year. The Home page was completely redesigned this fall based on user studies (870,211 hits; over 292,000 hits on college rankings Web page).

Library Acquisition Steering Committee (LASC) — Leslie Struble (September)

Struble gave a brief review of the now defunct committee explaining that the LASC had held hearings on library allocations, prepared a report for the Provost and had circulated the large report around campus.

Mortenson Center—Marianna Tax Choldin (October)

Choldin distributed handouts that celebrate the 10th Anniversary of the Mortenson Center. Established by the generous gifts from C. Walter and Gerda B. Mortenson, the Mortenson Center seeks to strengthen international ties among libraries and librarians worldwide for the promotion of international peace, education, and understanding. Mr. Mortenson (a chemist; a serious collector and book lover; life-long pacifist; and patent lawyer) wanted to provide a professorship to pursue reaching out to other libraries, to work towards world peace, to help libraries obtain the books they need, to network, obtain professional development and provide links around the world. The Center has hosted 500 librarians from 75 countries, targeting people who come and take home our resources.
Asian Library—Karen Wei (November)

The Asian Library collects materials in Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Arabic, Hebrew, etc. Due to the many languages, service may be limited at times as there is no one there who is able to answer all of the questions, but it does provide a starting point. The budget is presently inadequate; Ohio State University has double our budget and Pennsylvania State University has three times. In recruitment of librarians, it is a requirement that employees know at least one language.

ACES Library — Pat Allen (December)

Allen reported that they had moved into the new library on August 1st and were up and running by the first of the semester. The original Agriculture Library was opened in 1927 in Mumford Hall and was intended to serve as a temporary quarters. The 5th floor of the new ACES Library holds a computer lab that accommodates about 40 students. An orientation is held for new students to enlighten them on-line catalog and to move them in and out of the different systems. Freshman orientation offers this service as a Discovery course. There are six group study rooms in the Library; two of the rooms may be scheduled ahead of time while the other four are offered on a first come, first served basis. The ACES Library is very interdisciplinary as it has many components beneficial with other colleges. Allen is trying to develop a network (advisory committee) within the 240 faculty members to help make decisions for the needs of the Library.

Acquisition Budget Models — Bill Mischo (December)

Mischo gave a demonstration of the acquisition budget model and explained that this model or some version of it has been used each year for the past 3-years. This model contains many options that may or may not be considered for use. In an effort to encourage campus discussion, the model will be accessible on the Web at the following address: http://shiva.grainger.uiuc.edu/cdc/ry0201.asp. Chair Struble asked if SCL should provide a recommendation on the model; Schmidt agreed that SCL could be very helpful in selling the idea to the campus. Mischo remarked that he would appreciate any input as he felt everyone would have a different perspective.

Library Strategic Plan — Janis Johnston (February)

The Library Strategic Planning Committee meets twice annually (August and February) and has six strategic goals. The Library will hold its third annual retreat for all library faculty and staff this spring. The retreat provides a useful feedback to the Strategic Planning Committee on possible updates and/or revisions to the Plan. Johnston remarked that the retreat is a good bonding and team building experience that helps to strengthen the infrastructure of the library.

Scholarly Communication, the Publishers' Perspective—Robert Dodds and Joseph Greene (September)

Dodds and Greene, who each serve as editor of an Elsevier journal, shared their perspectives of scholarly communication. Dodds mentioned that he has been editor of the Engineering Fracture Mechanics, a journal of 38 years, since 1996. It has an advertised price of $2,300 per year and a paid circulation of 2,000. His office reviews 200 new manuscripts per year and publishes 18 issues of the journal each year. Greene is editor to Thin Solid Films, a journal of physics and engineering applications that has roughly 2,000 subscriptions and is published every two weeks. He reviews about 1,500 manuscripts per year by himself. Greene explained that Elsevier rents space at the University at the standard footage rate and provides two staff members.

Alternative Methods of Scholarly Communications—John Conley (October)

Conley remarked that his experience with scholarly communication had come from founding a
commercially published paper journal in 1997 (Journal of Public Economic theory) and founding an entirely electronic noncommercial journal (Economics Bulletin) in 2001. He felt that it is just a matter of time before the Web becomes the predominate means of scholarly communication. Scholarly communication has four elements: Production, Certification, Distribution, and Archiving. Historically, paper journals were the cheapest way to distribute research and also provided a convenient mechanism for libraries to archive research. However, their production was a costly process that required skills scholars did not have (typesetting, advertising, printing, mailing, etc.). Nowadays, it is relatively cheap to put research on the web, either on personal pages or in formal electronic journals. The fact that paper journals require paid subscriptions to allow access even puts them at a technological disadvantage. The subscription model, which seems hardwired to paper journals and commercial publishers in particular, inherently limits the distribution of research. Thus, the web has the upper hand on the distribution side. As for archiving, publishers and societies are making electronic archives available, and most researchers would prefer access these rather than go to the stacks to look for a physical copy. Still, we like the fact that a paper copy exists as it gives us confidence that the work will not disappear giving us some tangibility.

Scholarly Communications—Paula Watson (November)

Ms. Watson explained that the Tempe Principles came into being about three years ago when a committee was formed to raise consciousness campus-wide. Libraries across the country were beginning to band together in order to get better prices. The Tempe Principles, a joint effort between provosts and research libraries, are a set of eight principles resulting from a meeting held in Tempe, Arizona on March 2-4, 2000. She explained that purchases of electronic documents are different than with books, as Web documents require licenses. Tempe Principles available on the Web at (http://www.arl.org/scomm/tempe.html).

The Public Library of Science (http://www.publiclibraryofscience.org/) is a non-profit organization of scientists committed to making the world’s scientific and medical literature freely accessible to scientists and to the public around the world, for the benefit of scientific progress, education and the public good. Although they realize that academic values will not change overnight, this initiative encourages collaboration among researchers and an open letter has been signed by over 28,000 colleagues from 173 countries.

Scholarly Communication, General Discussion and Resolution

The SCL discussed the spectrum of actions the committee might take towards this issue. Struble remarked that she first became aware of this issue in the Mengler Report, which stressed that faculty should take control of scholarly communication. Conley saw a need to generate cheaper journals and alternative journals, and he was aware that it would not happen overnight. Watson thought it very important to raise people’s consciousness of this issue. She also pointed out that some universities do not even have access to Elsevier journals. At its March meeting, the SCL approved a report to the Senate Executive Committee on this matter.
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Eighteen items were considered by the Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures (US5P) this year, of which eight were held over from previous years, and one is this report. As of the date of writing this report (prior to the April 29, 2002 meeting of the Senate), the status of these items is as follows:

A. Items passed by the Senate.

SP.02.01 2001-2002 Urbana-Champaign Senate Membership

This report to the organizational meeting listed the elected members of the new Senate. It was adopted by the new Senate on April 16, 2001.

SP.02.04 Revisions to the Bylaws Part D.1 - Senate Council

The Report of the ad hoc Committee on the Implementation of the Report of the Fifth Senate Review Commission recommended that the title of “Senate Council” be changed to “Senate Executive Committee” as a way to more closely reflect the function of the committee. The Senate approved this proposal on December 3, 2001.

SP.02.07 Revisions to the Bylaws Part D (Standing Committees) to Add Academic Professionals to Committee Memberships

Following a request by the Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) that academic professionals have greater involvement in the Senate, the General University Policy Committee (GUP) recommended that the following Senate committees would benefit from having one academic professional as a regular member: Admissions, Budget, Campus Operations, Continuing Education/Public Service, Equal Opportunity, Faculty Benefits, General University Policy, Information Technology, University Statutes and Senate Procedures, and University Student Life. GUP also recommended that academic professional membership on the Senate Executive Committee be limited to a liaison role for the chair of PAC or designee. The Senate approved the proposal on February 4, 2002.

B. Items presented to the Senate for information.

SP.02.02 Faculty and Student Electorate and Senator Distribution

This report provided a statistical analysis of the membership of the new Senate. It was reported to the organizational meeting on April 16, 2001.

C. Items scheduled for Senate action at the April 29, 2002 meeting.

SP.00.05 Proposed Revisions to the Statutes, Article II, Section 4 – Faculty Advisory Committee

On November 1, 1999, the UIUC Senate approved a Statutes amendment to permit only tenured faculty to serve on the Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC). UI-Chicago adopted the same language, but since UI-Springfield has a much smaller pool of tenured faculty, they felt this statutory amendment severely restricted their ability to procure nominees and members of their FAC. University Senates Conference proposed language which allows each campus to determine its own eligibility requirements for its respective Faculty Advisory Committee. The Senate will vote on this proposal at the April 29, 2002 meeting.
SP.02.08 Proposed Revisions to the Statutes, Article II, Section 5 - Professional Advisory Committee

The Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) requested changing its name to Council of Academic Professionals (CAP) to more clearly describe the group represented (academic professionals) and the current function of the group. In reviewing this matter, USSP found that the groups that perform the function of the PAC on the UIS and UIC campuses call themselves the Academic Professional Advisory Committee. USSP is recommending language which will allow each campus to name the committee which performs the statutory function of the PAC to control the name. The proposal will be presented to the Senate for first reading at the April 29, 2002 meeting.

SP.02.09 Revision to the Bylaws, Part D.19(b) – Committee on University Student Life

The University Student Life Committee (USL) requested that two ex officio members be added to its membership: a representative of the Committee of Assistant and Associate Deans (A&A Deans) and the Dean of Students. A&A Deans work with undergraduate and graduate students and programs, so USL felt a liaison would be of value to both groups. The Dean of Students has been an observer on USL for many years, so the proposal simply reflects what has been the practice. The Senate will vote on the proposal at the April 29, 2002 meeting.

D. Items removed from the Committee agenda without being presented to the Senate.

SP.94.03 Definition of Academic Unit

USSP determined there was no continuing need to pursue this issue, so it was dropped from the agenda.

SP.98.04 Distribution of the Membership of Senate Committees

This item arose after questions were raised in a Senate meeting about the distribution of the membership of a Senate committee (i.e., by college). USSP determined no changes needed to be made, so this item was removed from the agenda.

SP.01.09 Proposed Revisions to the Bylaws, Part D.5 – Committee on the Budget and Part D.13 – Committee on Faculty Benefits

The Report of the ad hoc Committee on the Implementation of the Report of the Fifth Senate Review Commission recommended that the Budget Committee (BG) and the Faculty Benefits Committee (FB) be combined. In a joint report to the Senate Executive Committee (SEC), BG and FB opposed the merger of the two committees as they felt it would likely dilute the efforts and focus of both. SEC endorsed the recommendation that the two committees not be merged at this time, so USSP removed this item from the agenda.

SP.02.05 Revisions to the Bylaws Part D.1(b) – Senate Executive Committee

The Report of the ad hoc Committee on the Implementation of the Report of the Fifth Senate Review Commission recommended that the membership of the Senate Executive Committee be reconfigured. After discussion, USSP did not see any compelling reasons to change the membership. A motion was made and approved to not recommend any changes to the Bylaws and to remove this item from the agenda.

SP.02.11 Appointment of 2002-03 Senate Parliamentarians

This has been accomplished. The parliamentarians are Kenneth Andersen and Gay Miller.

E. Items to be carried over to 2002-2003.

SP.97.06 Amendment to the Statutes, Article IX Proposing a New Section 11 Re: Definition of Cause, a New Section 12 Re: Progressive Response, a New Section 13 Re: Sanctions, and a New Section 14 Re: Dismissal for Cause

On April 24, 2000, USSP presented a proposal to the Senate to add provisions to the Statutes for dismissal for cause for academic professional staff. The Senate voted to refer this item back to USSP. USSP continues to hold on this item while the similar proposal for faculty (ST-30, Sanctions Short of Dismissal) is finalized.
SP.99.06  **Editorial Revisions to the Bylaws**

In April of 1998, USSP presented editorial changes and updates of the *Statutes* to the Senate. In an effort to make all Senate documents uniform, USSP will review the *Bylaws*.

SP.00.06  **Multi-Year Contracts for Full-Time Non-Tenure Track Academic Staff**

On April 24, 2000, USSP presented a proposal to the Senate that would revise the *Statutes* to permit multi-year contracts for non-tenured faculty as a means for providing greater stability both for the affected academic staff and for their employing departments. The Senate passed a motion to postpone action indefinitely.

SP.01.04  **Revision to the Statutes, Article XIII, Section 8.a – General Provisions, Amendments**

This item was proposed by a Senator. Amendments to the *Statutes* usually arise in one of the Senates. After a proposed amendment has passed a Senate it is sent to the University Senates Conference (USC) which sends the proposal to the other campus Senates. After a proposed amendment has passed all Senates it is made uniform as to text by USC and then passed on to the President for transmission to the Board of Trustees. It is proposed that when the Senates do not concur as to its text, then USC shall endeavor to promote agreement of the Senates and that a proposed amendment cannot be forwarded to the President until agreement by the Senates has been reached. USSP continues to study the question and need for an amendment.

SP.02.03  **Substitute Motion Bylaws Language from SP.96.03**

At its March 19, 2001 meeting, the Senate referred the approved substitute motion from SP.96.03 (Formation of a New Senate Committee on Research Policy, *Bylaws*, Part D.18) to USSP with instructions to prepare language to insert provisions of this motion into the Senate *Bylaws*. USSP continues to discuss this *Bylaws* revision.

SP.02.06  **Revision to the Standing Rules, Section 11 – Election of a Senate Council Member from the Committee on the University Senates Conference**

The Report of the *ad hoc* Committee on the Implementation of the Report of the Fifth Senate Review Commission recommended that the nine University Senates Conference representatives from UIUC elect their own representative to the Senate Executive Committee. USSP continues to discuss this recommendation.
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