BACKGROUND
On December 6, 2010, the Urbana-Champaign Senate voted to approve SP.10.11 authorizing changes to the University Statutes, Article X, Section 2 governing Academic Freedom. Specifically, SP.10.11 included in its definition of academic freedom activities addressing “any matter of institutional policy or action whether or not as a member of an agency of institutional governance.” In addition, SP.10.11 extended the provisions governing academic freedom to all academic staff members. Appendix A contains the text of SP.10.11.

After the Senates for the Chicago and Springfield campuses adopted these changes to the University Statutes, the University Senates Conference (USC) forwarded SP.10.11 to the President. After considering these amendments, the President and his staff raised concerns about the language. A small working group including members of USC and the President’s staff met to make revisions to address those concerns. USC approved those revisions, designated ST-72 and presented in this proposal, on March 18, 2014. In forwarding ST-72 to the three campuses, the Chair of USC stated that ST-72 offered “stronger language that better defines who is covered in Article X, Section 2 and removes ambiguity between references to academic freedom and to First Amendment rights.” Appendix A also contains the text of ST-72.

The Senate Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure (AFT) reviewed ST-72 and concluded that the proposed language clarified the objectives of the original amendments to Article X – extending academic freedom to participation in shared governance. AFT did not address the proposed changes to the coverage for academic staff.

In its review of ST-72, the Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures (USSP) regrets that ST-72 restricts coverage to academic staff members “directly engaged in teaching and research,” a limitation that did not appear in SP.10.11 as previously adopted. In addition, USSP members found the phrasing of the paragraph 2.a of SP.10.11 preferable because it was clearer and more straightforward. Yet most members thought that the changes did not affect the meaning of academic freedom in a significant way. USSP also notes that the last sentence of paragraph 2.a of SP.10.11 has been moved to paragraph 2.c, and the last sentence of paragraph 2.c has been incorporated into paragraph 2.d; these rearrangements may somewhat clarify the text, and do not seem to change its meaning.

RECOMMENDATION
The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures and the Senate Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure recommend approval of the following revisions to the Statutes. Text to be added is underscored and text to be deleted is indicated by strikeout (e.g., sample text for deletion).

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE STATUTES, ARTICLE X, SECTION 2

Section 2. Academic Freedom

a. Academic freedom includes the freedom to teach, both in and outside the classroom, to conduct research and to publish the results of those investigations. The practice of shared governance that structures institutional decision-making depends on the right of a member of the faculty, or an academic staff member directly engaged in teaching or research, to address any matter of institutional policy or action, whether or not as a member of an agency or institutional governance, without fear of retaliation. This right is a core aspect of academic freedom.
It is the policy of the University to maintain and encourage full freedom within the law of inquiry, discourse, teaching, research, and publication and to protect any member of the academic staff against influences, from within or without the University, which would restrict the member’s exercise of these freedoms in the member’s area of scholarly interest, as well as to maintain full freedom of discourse regarding University policies and actions whether or not uttered as a member of an agency of institutional governance. The right to the protection of the University shall not, however, include any right to the services of the university counsel or the counsel’s assistants in any governmental or judicial proceedings in which the academic freedom of the staff member may be in issue.

As a citizen, a faculty member may exercise the same freedoms as other citizens without institutional censorship or discipline. Members of the faculty, and academic staff members who are directly engaged in teaching or research, have the freedoms identified in Article X, Section 2.a above and have the freedom to speak to any matter of social, political, economic, or other interest to the larger community. International members of the faculty, and academic staff shall enjoy these same freedoms. A faculty member should be mindful, however, that accuracy, forthrightness, and dignity befit association with the University and a person of learning and that the public may judge that person’s profession and the University by the individual’s conduct and utterances.

All the rights enumerated in sections X.2. a, b and c above are subject to the applicable standards of academic conduct. Further, a member of the faculty, and any academic staff member, should be mindful that accuracy, forthrightness, and dignity befit association with the University and a person of learning and that the public may judge that person’s profession and the University by the individual’s conduct and utterances. If, in the president’s judgment, a faculty member of the faculty or academic staff who is directly engaged in teaching or research, exercises freedom of expression as a citizen and fails to heed the admonitions of Article X, Section 2[b], the president may publicly disassociate the Board of Trustees and the University from and express their disapproval of such objectionable expressions.

A staff member of the faculty, or an academic staff member directly engaged in teaching or research, who believes that he or she does not enjoy the academic freedom which it is the policy of the University to maintain and encourage shall be entitled to a hearing on written request before the Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure of the appropriate campus senate. Such hearing shall be conducted in accordance with established rules of procedure. The committee shall make findings of facts and recommendations to the president and, at its discretion, may make an appropriate report to the senate. The several committees may from time to time establish their own rules of procedure.
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PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE STATUTES, ARTICLE X, SECTION 2

Section 2. Academic Freedom

a. Academic freedom is the freedom to teach, both in and outside the classroom, to conduct research and to publish the results of those investigations, and to address any matter of institutional policy or action whether or not as a member of an agency of institutional governance. Academic staff members have the freedom to speak to any matter of social, political, economic, or other interest to the larger community, subject to the applicable academic standards of conduct.

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE STATUTES, ARTICLE X, SECTION 2

Section 2. Academic Freedom

a. Academic freedom includes the freedom to teach, both in and outside the classroom, to conduct research and to publish the results of those investigations. The practice of shared governance that structures institutional decision-making depends on the right of a member of the faculty, or an academic staff member directly engaged in teaching or research, to address any matter of institutional policy or action, whether or not as a member of an agency of institutional governance, without fear of retaliation. This right is a core aspect of academic freedom.

It is the policy of the University to maintain and encourage full freedom within the law of inquiry, discourse, teaching, research, and publication and to protect any member of the academic staff against influences, from within or without the University, which would restrict the member’s exercise of these freedoms in the member’s area of scholarly interest. The right to the protection of the University shall not, however, include any right to the services of the university counsel or the counsel’s assistants in any governmental or
judicial proceedings in which the academic freedom of the staff member may be in issue.

b. As a citizen, a faculty member may exercise the same freedoms as other citizens without institutional censorship or discipline. A faculty member should be mindful, however, that accuracy, forthrightness, and dignity befit association with the University and a person of learning and that the public may judge that person’s profession and the University by the individual’s conduct and utterances.

[c.] d. If, in the president’s judgment, a faculty member exercises freedom of expression as a citizen and fails to heed the admonitions of Article X, Section 2b, the president may publicly disassociate the Board of Trustees and the University from and express their disapproval of such objectionable expressions.

[c.] d. All the rights enumerated in sections X.2, a, b and c above are subject to the applicable standards of academic conduct. Further, a member of the faculty, and any academic staff member, should be mindful that accuracy, forthrightness, and dignity befit association with the University and a person of learning and that the public may judge that person’s profession and the University by the individual’s conduct and utterances.
If, in the president’s judgment, a faculty member or academic staff member directly engaged in teaching or research exercises freedom of expression as a citizen and fails to heed these admonitions of Article X, Section 2(b), the president may publicly disassociate the Board of Trustees and the University from and express their disapproval of such objectionable expressions.

d. A staff member who believes that he or she does not enjoy the academic freedom which it is the policy of the University to maintain and encourage shall be entitled to a hearing on written request before the Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure of the appropriate campus senate. Such hearing shall be conducted in accordance with established rules of procedure. The committee shall make findings of facts and recommendations to the president and, at its discretion, may make an appropriate report to the senate. The several committees may from time to time establish their own rules of procedure.

d. A staff member of the faculty, or an academic staff member directly engaged in teaching or research, who believes that he or she does not enjoy the academic freedom which it is the policy of the University to maintain and encourage shall be entitled to a hearing on written request before the Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure of the appropriate campus senate. Such hearing shall be conducted in accordance with established rules of procedure. The committee shall make findings of facts and recommendations to the president and, at its discretion, may make an appropriate report to the senate. The several committees may from time to time establish their own rules of procedure.